
### Case 1:20-ct-00578-LAK Document 85 Filed 09/18/23 Page 1 of 3

TRULINCS 15425509 - LEVINE, RANDY CRAIG - Unit: DAN-L-A FROM: 15425509 TO: SUBJECT: DATE: 08/13/2023 11:41:04 AM UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -RANDY CRAIG LEVINE, Pro Se Defendant Case No.: 1:23-cv-05003-LAK -UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff Motion to Authorize My Former Attorney Edward Vincent Sapone, Esq. of Sapone and Petrillo, LLP to Comply with the Court's Order Dated 7/31/2023 I have read the Court's Order dated July 31, 2023 and this document headed Attorney-Client Priviledge Waiver(Informed Consent). I hereby authorize my former counsel, Edward Vincent Sapone, Esq., of Sapone and Petrillo, LLP to comply with the Court's Order by giving testimony in the form ordered by the Court, relating to my petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. & 2255 on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel. This authorization allows my former attorneys to testify only persuant to court order, and only to the extent necessary to shed light on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel that are raised by my motion. Respectfully Submitted, Decket in 20-01-578

and 73-cv-5003 Randy Cfaig Levine BOP#: 15425-509/ FCI Danbury 33 1/2 Pembroke Road Route 37 Danbury, CT 06811



# CG8891260c668538-AAK DB8GHBRN885 FFile6098/18/33 PB8822063

,	2 Date Bootiment of Thea 03/11/20 Tage 2 of 0
TRULINCS 15425509 - LEVINE, RANDY CRAIG - Unit: DAN-L-A	
FROM: 15425509 TO: SUBJECT: Cover Letter For Case No.:1:23- DATE: 08/13/2023 07:16:12 PM	cv-05003-LAK
Randy Craig Levine, pro se BOP#: 15425-509 FCI Danbury Federal Correctional Institution 33 1/2 Pembroke Road Danbury, CT 06811	·
Clerk of Court: Ruby J. Krajick United States District Court Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007	
RE.: 1:23-cv-05003-LAK	
Dear Sir/ Madam,	
Please find attached the motion to author comply with the court's order dated 7/31/202	rize my former attorney Edward Vincent Sapone, Esq of Sapone and Petrillo, LLF 23.
_	Respectfully Submitted

x\_\_\_\_\_\_Randy Craig Levine

Case 1:20-cr-00578-LAK Document 88 Filed 09/11/23 Page 3 of 6 ⇔15425-509⇔ Randy Levine 'Reg No. 15425-509 WESTCHESTER NY 105 Federal Correctional Institution AUG 2023 33 1/2 Pembroke Road PM 2 Danbury, CT 06811-3099 United States Pro h PRO SE OFFICE AUG 18 2023 CLERK'S OFFICE southern District of New York S.D.N.Y.

10007-133099

Case-112200-1-005788-LAKK DOGUMARH 848 FHRE 086411723 PARG-140586

Case 1:20-cr-00578-LAK Document 83 Filed 07/31/23 Page 3 of 4


Case 1:20-cr-00578-LAK Document 82-1 Filed 07/31/23 Page 3 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

United States of America

Randy Craig Levine,

Defendant.



20 Cr. 578 (LAK)

#### Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)

To: Randy Craig Levine

You have filed a petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel by your former counsel, Edward Vincent Sapone, Esq., of Sapone & Petrillo, LLP (see Dkt. No. 80). The Court has reviewed your papers and determined that the Court needs to have a sworn testimonial statement from your former attorneys in order to evaluate your petition.

By filing this petition, you have waived the attorney-client privilege you had with your former counsel to the extent relevant to determining your claims. This means that, if you wish to press your claims of ineffective assistance, you cannot keep the communications between yourself and your former attorneys a secret — You must allow them to be disclosed to the Government and to the Court pursuant to court order. The Court already has issued an Order ordering your former counsel to give such testimony, in the form of a declaration. This Informed Consent form is designed to ensure that you fully understand and agree to this.

Specifically, if you wish to proceed with your petition on the basis that you received ineffective assistance of counsel, you must sign this statement and return it to the Court. The form constitutes your authorization to your former counsel to disclose confidential communications (1) only in response to a court order and (2) only to the extent necessary to shed light on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel that are raised by your motion.

You should know that, if you sign this authorization, you run the risk that your former counsel will contradict your statements about their representation of you. However, you should also know that the Court may deny your motion if you do not authorize your former counsel to give an affidavit in response to the Court's attached Order.

You must return this form with your signature within twenty-one days from the date on which you are served with this Order. If the Court does not receive this form with your signature within that time, the Court may deny your motion.

## Casse 11220 ctr 0055783 LLAKK DOSEUMANT 1848 FHARE 086/11/223 Pagge 25066

Case 1:20-cr-00578-LAK Document 83 Filed 07/31/23 Page 4 of 4 Case 1:20-cr-00578-LAK Document 82-1 Filed 07/31/23 Page 4 of 4

#### AUTHORIZATION

I have read the Court's Order dated UUS\2023 and this document headed Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent). Thereby authorize my former counsel, Edward Vincent Sapone, Esq., of Sapone & Petrillo, LLP, to comply with the Court's Order by giving testimony, in the form ordered by the Court, relating to my petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel. This authorization allows my former attorneys to testify only pursuant to court order, and only to the extent necessary to shed light on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel that are raised by my motion.

Randy Graig Levine

Dated: 8 2 2023

